APPENDIX A RECURRING REVIEW REPORT TEMPLATE

- A-1. <u>Introduction</u>. This appendix provides a checklist and report template for Recurring Review Reports. The checklist appears first, followed by the report template. Each report should take into account site-specific circumstances, and the report format and content should be modified accordingly. For example, there may be site-specific questions that are not specifically addressed in the checklist /template presented in this appendix but that should be included in the Recurring Review Report. At a minimum, the report will include all applicable information described in the checklist and template.
- a. Table A-1 is a checklist that may be used to verify that all appropriate information has been included in the Recurring Review Report. Depending on site-specific circumstances, some items may not be applicable.
- b. The suggested format for Recurring Review Reports is presented in the report template, which also provides additional detail on the content of each section. The template provides details on the content of each section, boilerplate text, example tables, and protectiveness statements. Suggested boilerplate text is presented in text boxes. Within the boilerplate section, text enclosed in brackets ("[]") should be added as appropriate, and *italicized* text denotes discussions that the reviewer should add.
- c. Use both the checklist and report template as guides for the types of information that should appear in the different sections of the Recurring Review Report. Also include information that is relevant to the site and needed to ensure that the rationale behind the protectiveness determination is adequately documented.

Table A-1 Content Checklist for Recurring Review Reports for OE Response Actions

Project Name:			
Project Location:			
Preparer's Name and Title:			
Date of Preparation:			
Reviewer's Name and Title:			
Date of Review:			
	Y	N	N/A
Title page with signature and date			
Signed concurrence memorandum (if applicable)			
Table of Contents			
• List of tables			
• List of figures			
 List of acronyms 			
 List of appendices 			
Executive Summary			
 Recurring Review Summary 			
Introduction			
 Site name, location and FUDS number 			
• Date of the Recurring Review			
• Purpose of the Recurring Review			
• Review number (e.g., first, second, etc.)			
 date that the on-site field work for the selected response action began (i.e., "trigger date") 			
 date of the previous review (if applicable) 			

	\mathbf{Y}	N	N/A
Introduction (continued)			
• If review covers only a portion of the site, define what areas are covered in the Recurring Review and summarize the status of other areas			
• List of Project Delivery Team Members			
 Organizations providing analyses in support of the review (e.g., the contractor supporting the lead agency) 			
 Other review participants or support agencies 			
Site Chronology and Description			
• Chronological list of site history, including all important site events such as the date of initial discovery of problem and milestone dates for the OE response action at the site (e.g., list of documents created during the removal or remedial response process such as the EE/CA or RI/FS report, decision documents, etc.).)			
 Physical characteristics of the site (e.g., size, topography, and geology) 			
• Land use history (e.g., former, current, and future land use(s) of the site and surrounding areas)			
• Site investigations			
 Response action 			
 Regulatory actions 			
 Response action objectives 			
 Response selection 			
 Response description 			_
 Response implementation (e.g., status, history) 			

		Y	\mathbf{N}	N/A
Recurring Review Process				
• Administrative Components				
 Notification of potentially initiation of review process 				
 Identification of PDT men 	nbers			
 Outline of components an Recurring Review 	d schedule for the			
• Community Notification and	Involvement			
 Community notification () 	prior and post review)			
 Other community involves notices, fact sheets, etc., a 				
 Stakeholder and Regulator 	r Input			
 Summary of actions ta information to and sol stakeholders and regul direct mailings, meeting 	icit input from ators (e.g., public notices,			
 Regulator and stakeho 	lder concerns			
	stakeholder tes from public meetings, hould be included as an			
Summary of Information Gath	nered and Relied Upon			
 Existing information/docu (summary of existing docu reviewed, information gat and information gathered regulators) 	umentation that was hered during the site visit,			
 New information (e.g., ph visit that illustrate current information provided by s regulators, incident report 	site conditions, takeholders and			

Y

N

N/A

	_	If a determination of Technical Impracticability was made for the site, discuss whether new technology is now available that could address remaining explosives safety risks at the site		
•	Pro	ogress Since Last Recurring Review (if applicable)		
	_	Protectiveness statements from last review	 	
	-	Status of recommendations and follow-up actions from last review	 	
	_	Results of implemented actions, including whether they achieved the intended effect	 	
	_	Status of any other prior issues	 	
•	Int	rerviews		
	_	Interview date(s) and location(s)	 	
	_	Interview participants (name, title, and other contact information)	 	
	_	Interview documentation	 	
	_	Interview summary	 	
•	Sit	e Visit Findings		
	_	Date of Site Visit	 	
	_	Site Visit participants	 	
	_	Site visit scope and procedures	 	
	_	Site visit observations and conclusions	 	
	_	Mans drawings tables and photos (as necessary)		

	\mathbf{Y}	N	N/A
Final Site Analysis			
• Answer Question 1: Is the response functioning as intended?			
• Answer Question 2: Are any assumptions used at the time of response selection still valid?			
• Answer Question 3: Does new information indicate that the previously selected response is no longer protective of human health, safety, and the environment considering the best available technology?			
• In answering these questions, include:			
 Description of whether the response action continues to meet the response objectives. 			
 Description of any changes noted at the site and what impact they have on the protectiveness of the response (e.g., physical changes, changes in land use at the site or adjacent properties, changes in public accessibility, technology changes, etc.) 			
 Analysis of the current protectiveness of the OE response action based on the information gathered during the Recurring Review. 			
Conclusions/Recommendations			
• Response Deficiencies			
• Conclusions			
 Protectiveness statement for each sector or area of the site, as appropriate (i.e., statement as to whether the response continues to minimize explosives safety risks and continues to be protective of human health, safety and the environment) 			

	Y	N	N/A
Conclusions/Recommendations (continued)			
 Recommendations/Follow-up Actions 			
 If it is determined that the response is not currently protective or risk-related concerns are identified, include recommendations for follow-up actions to address the deficiencies. The report should indicate that the follow-up actions were identified and developed by the PDT in conjunction with stakeholders and regulators. 			
 Responsibility Matrix 			
 Recommended follow-up actions 			
 Parties responsible for further action (i.e., for developing, implementing, and overseeing the actions) 			
 Target dates (i.e., schedule for completion of actions related to resolution of issues) 			
Next Review			
 Expected date of next review 			
 Proposed changes to the scope of subsequent reviews 			
 If the PDT has determined that no further Recurring Reviews will be conducted at the site, provide a discussion of the justification for termination and documenting agreement among the PDT, stakeholders and regulators. 			

Recurring Review Report

	(First, Second, etc.) Recurring Review Report	
	for	
	Site Name	
	FUDS Number	
	City	
	County, State	
	Month, Year	
	PREPARED BY:	
	Lead Agency Name and Location	
Approved by:	Date:	
Name] Title] Affiliation]		

Signed Concurrence Memorandum

If concurrence was obtained from the appropriate regulators, include a signed concurrence memorandum.

Recurring Review Report

Site Name FUDS Number City County, State

The following Table of Contents notes typical major divisions and subheadings for Recurring Review reports. Subheadings can be included as appropriate for a given review report. This is only a general example.

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
List	of Acronyms	A-11
Exec	cutive Summary	A-12
Recu	urring Review Summary	A-13
1.0	Introduction	A-15
2.0	Site Chronology and Description	A-16
3.0	Recurring Review Process	A-17
4.0	Final Site Analysis	A-19
5.0	Conclusions/Recommendations	A-19

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Appendices

List of Acronyms

You should include a list of acronyms used in the report.

Executive Summary

You should include an Executive Summary at the beginning of the report. The Executive Summary should be brief, and should include a reiteration of the protectiveness statements included in Section 5.0 (Conclusions/Recommendations) of the Recurring Review Report.

Sample Format for Recurring Review Summary

		SITE IDEN	NTIFICA	ΓΙΟΝ	
Site name:					
FUDS Number:					
City:	County:	State:			
		SITE	STATUS		
Selected Response	Action Description	1:			
Response Action S	tatus (choose all that	t apply): Un	der Constru	action Complete	
Initiation Date of C	On-site Field Work	for Response A	ction Impl	ementation://	
Completion Date for	or Response Action	ı Implementatio	n:/_	/	
Does the site include	de multiple Sectors	/Areas?YES	_NO	Has site been put into reuse? _	_YESNO
If yes, list the areas	s included in this R	ecurring Reviev	v:		
					-
			W STATU	JS	
Lead agency:					
Author/District PM	I name:				
Author/District PM	I title:		Author a	ffiliation:	
Review period:**	//	to//			
Review number: _	_1 (first)2 (seco	ond)3 (third)	Other	(specify)	
Date(s) of site visit	:://				
Triggering date: _	//				
Due date for initiation	on of this Recurring I	Review:/	/		

Sample Format for Recurring Review Summary, cont'd.
Summary of Findings and Final Site Analysis:
Summarize findings and Final Site Analysis (see Chapters 3 and 4)
Conclusions/Recommendations and Follow-up Actions:
Summarize conclusions/recommendations and follow-up actions (see Chapter 5).
Protectiveness Statement(s):
Other Comments:

Recurring Review Report

1.0 Introduction

Provide a synopsis of "who, what, where, when, and why." Detail the following:

- The site name, location and FUDS number (include site location figure);
- *The purpose of the review;*
- Who conducted the review, when, and for what site or portion of the site;
- Whether it is the first review or a subsequent review at the site, including the date that the on-site field work for the selected response action began (i.e., "trigger date") and the date of the previous review (if applicable);
- A brief status of areas of a site not addressed in the current review and/or the status of Recurring Reviews for other areas of the entire site.

1.0 Introduction

The United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has conducted a Recurring Review for the Ordnance and Explosives (OE) Response Action at [site name] [FUDS number] in [city, county, state]. The site location is illustrated in Figure 1.1. The [name of the areas of the site included in the review] is the subject of this review. The location(s) of the area(s) included in this review are illustrated in Figure 1.2.

The purpose of a Recurring Review for an OE response action is to determine whether the response action at a site continues to minimize explosives safety risks and continues to be protective of human health, safety, and the environment. The methods, findings, and conclusions of the review are documented in this report.

The Recurring Review was conducted from [start date] to [finish date] and is the [number of review, i.e., first, second, etc.] Recurring Review for this site. On-site field work for the selected response action at this site began on [date]. The previous review was conducted in [year of previous review].

The [USACE District] conducted the Recurring Review. The members of the Project Delivery Team (PDT) that conducted the review, including their titles and contact information, are provided in Table 1.1.

If the Recurring Review does not include an entire site, also provide a brief synopsis of the status of response actions and/or Recurring Reviews for other areas.

2.0 Site Chronology and Description

List all important site events and relevant dates in the site chronology, such as those shown in Table 2.1. The identified events are illustrative, not comprehensive.

Table 2.1: Chronology of Site Events

Event	Date
Preliminary Assessment of Eligibility	
Site Inspection (incl. work plans and reports)	
Archives Search Report	
Time Critical Removal Actions	
Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) or Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS)	
Decision Document	
Explosives Safety Submissions	
Response Implementation	
Site-specific Response Report	
Previous Recurring Reviews	

Describe the fundamental aspects of the site, including:

- Physical characteristics of the site (e.g., size, topography, and geology);
- Land use history (e.g., former, current, and future land use(s) of the site and surrounding areas);
- Summary of site investigation history and findings; and
- Description of the selected response action, including response action objectives, response selection, response implementation, and basis for taking response. You should delineate all response measures, for instance, include land use controls. Discuss any changes to or previously identified problems with the response.

This information can be taken directly from existing site documents.

3.0 Recurring Review Process

Describe activities performed during the Recurring Review process and provide a summary of findings when appropriate. Include the following information:

- Administrative Components
 - Notification of potentially interested parties of initiation of review process
 - Identification of PDT members
 - Outline of components and schedule for the Recurring Review
- Community Notification and Involvement
 - Community notification (prior and post review)
 - Other community involvement activities (e.g., notices, fact sheets, etc., as appropriate)
 - Stakeholder and Regulator Input
 - Summary of actions taken to provide information to and solicit input from stakeholders and regulators (e.g., public notices, direct mailings, meetings, interviews, etc.).
 - o Regulator and stakeholder concerns
 - o Include a copy of significant stakeholder correspondence, minutes from public meetings, interview forms, etc. as an appendix to the report.
- Summary of Information Gathered and Relied Upon
 - Existing information/documentation review
 - Provide a list of the existing documentation that was reviewed and the location of this information;
 - Describe existing information gathered during the site visit and information gathered from stakeholders and regulators.
 - New information
 - Include a description of new information that is not already included in the project files but which is necessary to support the findings of the Recurring Review. This may include photographs from the site visit that illustrate current site conditions, information provided by stakeholders and regulators, and incident reports.
 - Include this new information as an appendix to the report.
 - If a determination of Technical Impracticability was made for the site, discuss whether new technology is now available that could address remaining explosives safety risks at the site.
- Progress Since the Last Recurring Review

- Protectiveness statements from the last review;
- Status of recommendations and follow-up actions from last review;
- Results of implemented actions, including whether they achieved the intended effect;
- Status of any other prior issues

Table 3.1 below presents one approach for providing information on the recommendations and follow-up actions stated in the past review and subsequent actions. The accompanying text should also discuss why any recommendations and follow-up actions have not been implemented if that is the case, and whether implemented actions achieved desired results.

Table 3.1: Actions Taken Since the Last Recurring Review

Issues from Previous Review	Recommendations/ Follow-up Actions	Party Responsible	Milestone Date	Action Taken and Outcome	Date of Action

Interviews

- Provide a summary of interviews conducted to obtain new information about the site including:
 - Interview date(s) and location(s)
 - o Interview participants (name, title, and other contact information)
 - o Interview documentation
 - o *Interview summary*
- Include a detailed description of each interview in an appendix.
- Site Visit Findings
 - -Date of Site Visit
 - -Site Visit participants
 - -Site visit scope and procedures
 - -Site visit observations and conclusions

-Maps, drawings, tables and photos (as necessary)

4.0 Final Site Analysis

Provide an analysis of the current protectiveness of the OE response action based on the information gathered during the Recurring Review. In the analysis, provide the answers to the three questions that the Recurring Review is intended to address:

- *Is the response functioning as intended?*
- *Are any assumptions used at the time of response selection still valid?*
- Does new information indicate that the previously selected response no longer minimizes explosives safety risks and/or is no longer protective of human health, safety, and the environment considering the best available technology?

Provide the information that presents the basis for each answer as a framework for your protectiveness determination(s):

- Description of whether the response action continues to meet the response objectives.
- Description of any changes noted at the site and what impact they have on the protectiveness of the response (e.g., physical changes, changes in land use at the site or adjacent properties, changes in public accessibility, technology changes, etc.).
- Analysis of the current protectiveness of the OE response action based on the information gathered during the Recurring Review.

Explain the conclusions of your review, based on the information presented in the previous section.

5.0 Conclusions/Recommendations

Develop a protectiveness statement for each sector included in the Recurring Review. This will be a statement as to whether the response continues to minimize explosives safety risks and continues to be protective of human health, safety and the environment.

Explain and provide supporting rationale of the protectiveness determination. This will include a description of any response deficiencies that were noted during the Recurring Review. Address all issues that affect current and/or future protectiveness.

If it is determined that the response is not currently protective or risk-related concerns are identified, include recommendations for follow-up actions to address the deficiencies. These follow-up actions will be identified and developed by the PDT in conjunction with stakeholders and regulators. Develop a responsibility matrix that identifies the parties responsible for implementing and overseeing actions, milestone dates, etc.. Table 5.1 illustrates one way to include the necessary information.

Table 5.1: Conclusions/Recommendations

Issue	Recommendations and Follow-up Actions	Party Responsible for Implementation	Party Responsible for Oversight	Milestone Date

Document the year of the next Recurring Review for the site and any proposed changes to the scope. If the PDT has determined that no further Recurring Reviews will be conducted at the site, provide a discussion of the justification for termination and document agreement among the PDT, stakeholders and regulators.

Suggested protectiveness statements are provided below.

A. Response action is under construction:

Protective or will be protective:

"The response action at [area X of site X] is expected to be effective in minimizing explosive safety risks and protective of human health, safety and the environment upon completion, and in the interim, conditions that could result in unacceptable risks are being controlled."

Not protective:

"The response action at [area X of site X] is not protective because of the following issues [describe the issue(s)]. The following actions need to be taken [describe the actions needed to ensure protectiveness]."

Protectiveness deferred:

"A protectiveness determination of the response at [area X of site X] cannot be made at this time until further information is obtained. Further information will be obtained by taking the following actions [describe the actions]. It is expected that these actions will take approximately [insert time frame] to complete, at which time a protectiveness determination will be made."

B. Response action at the OU is operating or completed:

Protective:

"The response action at [area X of site X] continues to minimize explosives safety risks and continues to be protective of human health, safety and the environment."

Not protective:

"The response action at [area X of site X] is not continuing to minimize explosives safety risks and is not continuing to be protective of human health, safety and the environment because of the following issue(s) [describe the issue(s)]. The following actions need to be taken [describe the actions needed to ensure protectiveness].

Protectiveness deferred:

"A protectiveness determination of the response at [area X of site X] cannot be made at this time until further information is obtained. Further information will be obtained by taking the following actions [describe the actions]. It is expected that these actions will take approximately [insert time frame] to complete, at which time a protectiveness determination will be made."

Appendices

- Site maps (if not included in the body of the report)
- List of documents reviewed and their location
- New information obtained during the Recurring Review that is not currently a part of the project files
- Interview forms
- Photos Documenting Site Conditions
- Copies of significant stakeholder correspondence, community outreach materials, minutes from public meetings, interview forms, etc.
- Comments received from stakeholders